Semiclassical theory of diffusive-ballistic crossover and the persistent spin helix

B. Andrei Bernevig

Princeton Center for Theoretical Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA and Department of Physics, Jadwin Hall, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

Jiangping Hu

Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA (Received 20 November 2008; published 30 December 2008)

Conventional transport theory focuses on either the diffusive or ballistic regimes and neglects the crossover region between the two. In the presence of spin-orbit coupling, the transport equations are known only in the diffusive regime, where the spin precession angle is small. In this paper, we develop a semiclassical theory of transport valid throughout the diffusive-ballistic crossover of a special SU(2) symmetric spin-orbit-coupled system. The theory is also valid in the physically interesting regime where the spin precession angle is large. We obtain exact expressions for the density and spin structure factors in both two-dimensional and threedimensional samples with spin-orbit coupling.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.78.245123](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.245123)

PACS number(s): 72.25. - b, 71.70.Ej, 72.10. - d, 72.15.Gd

The physics of systems with spin-orbit coupling has generated great interest from both academic and practical perspectives[.1](#page-3-0) Spin-orbit coupling allows for purely electric manipulation of the electron spin^{$2-6$ $2-6$} and could be of practical use in areas from spintronics to quantum computing. Theoretically, spin-orbit coupling is essential to the proposal of interesting effects and new phases of matter such as the intrinsic and quantum spin Hall effect. $7-12$ $7-12$

While the diffusive transport theory for a system with spin-orbit coupling has recently been derived, $13,14$ $13,14$ the analysis of diffusive-ballistic transport, where the spin precession angle during a mean-free path is comparable to (or larger than) 2π , has so far remained confined to numerical methods[.15](#page-3-7) This situation is experimentally relevant since the momentum relaxation time τ in high-mobility GaAs or other semiconductors can be made large enough to render the precession angle $\phi = \alpha k_F \tau > 2\pi$, where α and k_F are the spinorbit coupling strength and Fermi momentum, respectively. The mathematical difficulty in obtaining the crossover transport physics rests in the fact that one has to sum an infinite series of diagrams which, due to the spin-orbit coupling, are not diagonal in spin space. In this paper we obtain the explicit transport equations for a the series of models with spinorbit coupling where a special $SU(2)$ symmetry has recently been discovered.¹⁶

We first consider a two-dimensional electron gas without inversion symmetry for which the most general form of linear spin-orbit coupling includes both Rashba and Dresselhaus contributions,

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{k^2}{2m} + \alpha (k_y \sigma_x - k_x \sigma_y) + \beta (k_x \sigma_x - k_y \sigma_y), \tag{1}
$$

where $k_{x,y}$ is the electron momentum along the [100] and [010] directions, respectively, α and β are the strengths of the Rashba and Dresselhauss spin-orbit couplings, and *m* is the effective electron mass. At the point $\alpha = \beta$, which may be experimentally accessible through tuning of the Rashba coupling via externally applied electric fields,² a new SU(2) finite wave-vector symmetry was theoretically discovered.¹⁶ The Dresselhauss [110] model, describing quantum wells grown along the $[110]$ direction, exhibits the above symmetry without tuning to a particular point in the spin-orbit coupling space. At the symmetry point, the spin-relaxation time becomes infinite giving rise to a persistent spin helix. The energy bands in Eq. ([1](#page-0-0)) at the $\alpha = \beta$ point have an important *shifting property:* $\epsilon_{\parallel}(\vec{k}) = \epsilon_{\parallel}(\vec{k} + \vec{Q})$, where $Q_{+} = 4m\alpha$, $Q_{-} = 0$ for the $\mathcal{H}_{[ReD]}$ model and $Q_x=4m\alpha$, $Q_y=0$ for the $\mathcal{H}_{[110]}$ model. The exact $SU(2)$ symmetry discovered in Ref. [16](#page-3-8) is generated by the spin operators (written here in a transformed basis as),

$$
S_Q^- = \sum_{\vec{k}} c_{\vec{k}\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\vec{k}+\vec{Q}\uparrow}^{\dagger}, \quad S_Q^+ = \sum_{\vec{k}} c_{\vec{k}+\vec{Q},\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\vec{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger},
$$

$$
S_0^z = \sum_{\vec{k}} c_{\vec{k}\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\vec{k}\uparrow}^{\dagger} - c_{\vec{k}\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\vec{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger},
$$
(2)

with $c_{k[†] \mid}$ being the annihilation operators of spin-up and spin-down particles. These operators obey the commutation relations for angular momentum, $[S_0^z, S_Q^{\pm}] = \pm 2S_Q^{\pm}$ and $[S_Q^+, S_Q^-] = S_0^z$. Early spin-grating experiments on GaAs exhibit phenomena consistent with the existence of such a symmetry point[.17](#page-3-9)

In Ref. [16](#page-3-8) the spin-charge transport equations for Hamil-tonian ([1](#page-0-0)) have been obtained in the diffusive limit in which $\alpha k_F \tau \ll 1$. However the regions $\alpha k_F \tau \sim 1$ and $\alpha k_F \tau \gg 1$ are also experimentally accessible, and no theory is yet available to deal with these regimes. We now present the exact spin and charge structure factors at the exact-symmetry point for any value of the parameter $\alpha k_F \tau$.

We first obtain the spin and charge structure factors in the absence of spin-orbit coupling but valid in both the $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and in $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ regimes. One should think of the structure factor obtained this way as a generalization of the classic Lienhard formulas in the presence of disorder. We then use a non-Abelian gauge transformation introduced in Ref. [16](#page-3-8) to obtain the structure factors for the spin-orbit coupling problem described above.

We start by formulating the problem in the language of the Keyldish formalism[.14](#page-3-6)[,18](#page-3-10)[,19](#page-3-11) Assuming isotropic scattering with momentum lifetime τ , the retarded and advanced Green's functions are

$$
G^{R,A}(k,\epsilon) = \left(\epsilon - \mathcal{H} \pm \frac{i}{2\tau}\right)^{-1}.\tag{3}
$$

We introduce a momentum-dependent, energy-dependent, and position-dependent charge-spin density which is a 2×2 matrix $g(k, r, t)$. Summing over momentum,

$$
\rho(r,t) \equiv \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^3 \nu} g(k,r,t),\tag{4}
$$

gives the real-space spin-charge density $\rho(r,t) = n(r,t)$ $f(s^i(r,t)\sigma_i)$, where $n(r,t)$ and $S^i(r,t)$ are the charge and spin densities and $\nu = m/2\pi$ is the density of states in two dimensions. $\rho(r, t)$ and $g(k, r, t)$ satisfy the equation^{14,[18](#page-3-10)}

$$
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial k_i}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial r_i} \right\} + i[\mathcal{H}, g] = -\frac{g}{\tau} + \frac{i}{\tau} (G^R \rho - \rho G^A), \quad (5)
$$

which we now solve for a free-electron gas Hamiltonian. To obtain the spin-charge transport equations, we follow the general sequence of technical manipulations: time-Fourier transform the above equation, find a general solution for $g(k, r, t)$ involving $\rho(r, t)$ and the *k*-dependent spin-orbit coupling, perform a gradient expansion of that solution (assuming $\partial_r \ll k_F$, where k_F is the Fermi wave vector) to second order, and, finally, integrate over the momentum. The formalism is valid even through the diffusive-ballistic boundary. For the diffusive limit, when τ is small, we need to keep only the second-order term in the gradient expansion which gives rise to the usual spin and charge propagators $(i\omega - Dq^2)^{-1}$. As τ increases, we need to keep higher-order terms in the gradient expansion to accurately describe the transport physics. The ballistic limit requires infinite summation over the gradient expansion. This can be easiest seen in the regime of zero-spin-orbit coupling, in which the sums can be exactly performed. It is then fortuitous that our spin-orbit coupled problem can be mapped into a free electron plus disorder problem where we can obtain the structure factor exactly. By Fourier transforming in time we obtain the following recursive equation:

$$
-i\omega\rho(r,t) = -i\int \frac{d\theta k dk}{(2\pi)^2 m} \Omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(k,r,t),
$$
 (6)

where $\Omega = \omega + i/\tau$ and the *n*th order term reads as

$$
g_n(k,r,t) = \partial_{r_1} \cdots \partial_{r_n} \left[\left(-\frac{k_{i_1}}{m} \right) \cdots \left(-\frac{k_{i_n}}{m} \right) \left(\frac{i}{\Omega} \right)^n g_0(k,r,t) \right],\tag{7}
$$

where $g_0(k, r, t)$ contains a term which fixes the momentum at the Fermi surface,

$$
g_0(k,r,t) = \frac{i}{\Omega} \frac{2\pi}{\tau} \delta \left(\epsilon_F - \frac{k^2}{2m} \right). \tag{8}
$$

Since the initial Hamiltonian and the transport equations are rotationally invariant, we can assume propagation only on [100] and with the use of the identities,

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta [\cos(\theta)]^n = \frac{\left[1 + (-1)^n\right] \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right)},\qquad(9)
$$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + (-1)^n] \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{2\pi} a^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - a^2}}{\sqrt{1 - a^2}}, \quad (10)
$$

we can integrate over the Fermi-surface angles to obtain the structure factor pole,

$$
S(\omega, q) = \frac{1}{i\omega - \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_F^2 q^2}{\left(\omega + \frac{i}{\tau}\right)^2}}}
$$
(11)

The correct interpretation of our structure factor requires consistently picking a branch of the square-root function in the denominator. We pick the branch cut along the positive *x* axis. The pole in the structure factor represents the characteristic frequencies of the system,

$$
\omega_{1,2} = -\frac{i}{\tau} \pm \sqrt{q^2 v_F^2 - \frac{1}{\tau^2}},\tag{12}
$$

which in the diffusive and ballistic limits reduces to the wellknown expressions,

$$
\tau \to \infty \Rightarrow \omega_{1,2} \approx \pm v_F q,
$$

$$
\tau \to 0 \Rightarrow \omega \approx -iDq^2,
$$
 (13)

where $D = v_F^2 \tau/2$. The presence of only one (exponentially decaying) solution in the diffusive limit follows directly from correctly treating the branch-cut singularity in our structure factor. It can then be seen that the exponentially divergent solution $\omega \approx iDq^2$ is a false pole of Eq. ([11](#page-1-0)).

Although not of immediate interest to the present paper, we also present the structure factor for a bulk Fermi gas in the presence of disorder. With the density of states defined as $v = \frac{(2m)^{3/2}E_F^{1/2}}{4\pi^2}$ $\frac{f_1 - f_2}{4\pi^2}$ the transport equation becomes

$$
-i\omega \rho = -i \int \int \int \frac{d\phi \sin \theta d\theta k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^4 \nu \tau} \Omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n, \qquad (14)
$$

where g_n and Ω are as before and $\Omega = \omega + i/\tau$. Rotational invariance allows us to take $k_i = k_z$ and we obtain

$$
-i\Omega \rho = \frac{mk_F}{(2\pi)^2 \nu \tau_{n=0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{v_F q}{\Omega}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} x^n dx
$$

$$
= \frac{mk_F}{(2\pi)^2 \nu \tau v_F q} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \frac{q}{v_F \Omega}}{1 - \frac{q}{v_F \Omega}}\right) \rho.
$$
(15)

Introducing the three-dimensional density of states at the Fermi surface, as well as a δ -function source term, the structure factor reads as

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{i\Omega + \frac{\Omega}{2\pi r q} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \frac{q}{v_F \Omega}}{1 - \frac{q}{v_F \Omega}} \right)}.
$$
(16)

To see the diffusive pole we need to carefully expand the logarithm,

$$
\tau \to 0: \rho = \frac{1}{i\omega - \frac{v_F^2 \tau}{3} q^2},\tag{17}
$$

which is the right diffusive pole in three dimensions. For the ballistic pole we solve the equation (the one below is valid for any τ)

$$
\omega = v_F q \frac{e^{-iv_F q \tau} + e^{iv_F q \tau}}{e^{-iv_F q \tau} - e^{iv_F q \tau}} - \frac{i}{\tau}.
$$
\n(18)

In the ballistic limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ the exponentials in the fraction are oscillating wildly and must be regularized. Depending on the regularization $q \rightarrow q + 0^{\pm}$ the characteristic frequencies are

$$
\omega = \pm v_F q,\tag{19}
$$

which are the ballistic poles.

Having solved the free-Fermi gas case, we now add spinorbit coupling at the special $SU(2)$ symmetric point of the persistent spin helix. Following Ref. [16,](#page-3-8) we express the spinorbit coupling Hamiltonian (1) (1) (1) in the form of a background non-Abelian gauge potential $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ReD}} = \frac{k^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2m}(k_+ - 2m\alpha\sigma_z)^2$ +const, where the field strength vanishes identically for $\alpha = \beta$. Therefore, we can eliminate the vector potential by a non-Abelian gauge transformation: $\Psi_{\uparrow}(x_+,x_-)$ \rightarrow exp(*i*2 max₊) Ψ _↑(*x*₊, *x*₋) and $\Psi_{\downarrow}(x_{+}, x_{-}) \rightarrow \exp$ $(-i2 \text{ max}_{+}) \Psi_{\downarrow}(x_{+}, x_{-})$. Under this transformation, the spinorbit coupled Hamiltonian is mapped to that of the free Fermi gas, but while diagonal operators such as the charge *n* and *Sz* remain unchanged, off-diagonal operators, such as $S^{-}(\vec{x}) = \psi_{\parallel}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \psi_{\parallel}(\vec{x})$ and $S^{+}(\vec{x}) = \psi_{\parallel}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \psi_{\perp}(\vec{x})$, are transformed: $S^{-}(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \exp(-i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r})S^{-}(\vec{x})$ and $S^{+}(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \exp(i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r})S^{+}(\vec{x})$. Here *Q* is the shifting wave vector of the spin-orbit coupled Hamiltonian. Since in the gauge transformed basis, all three components of the spin and charge have the structure factor derived above, in the original (experimentally measurable) basis, the S_x and S_y have the following form:

FIG. 1. (Color online) The sketch of the branch cut and the integral contour in the calculation of $S(t, q)$.

$$
S^{\pm}(\omega, \vec{q}) = \frac{1}{i\omega - \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_F^2(\vec{q} + \vec{Q})^2}{\left(\omega + \frac{i}{\tau}\right)^2}}}}.
$$
(20)

The above result represents the exact form factor for a spinorbit-coupled system valid everywhere from the diffusive to ballistic regimes. The persistent spin helix is clearly maintained for any values of τ , α , v_f since $S(\omega, \vec{Q}) = 1/i\omega$ which renders the spin lifetime infinite.

The transient-grating experiments^{17,[20](#page-3-12)} measure the ω Fourier transform of *S*(ω ,*q*), i.e., *S*(*t*,*q*)= $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ *f dte^{-<i>i*ωt}S(ω ,*q*). $S(\omega, q)$ is analytic in the upper half complex plane. Thus, $S(t,q)$ is zero for $t < 0$. For $t > 0$, by selecting the integral contour as shown in Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) we obtain its real and imaginary parts as follows:

$$
\frac{\text{Im}[S(t,q)]}{e^{-t/\tau}} = \frac{a}{1+a^2} + P \int_a^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - a^2} \cos\left(\frac{xt}{\tau}\right)}{x(x^2 - 1 - a^2)},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\text{Re}[S(t,q)]}{e^{-t/\tau}} = -\frac{a^2 + \cos\left(\sqrt{1+a^2}\frac{t}{\tau}\right)}{1+a^2},
$$
\n(21)

where $a = v_F | \vec{q} \pm \vec{Q} | \tau$ and *P* indicates the principal value of the integral.

In Fig. [2,](#page-3-13) we plot the real and imaginary parts of $S(t,q)$ for different values of a . In the figure, we set $\tau = 1$, and from bottom to top, the curves are corresponding to *a* $=2.2, 2.6, 3, 3.4, 3.8, 4.2$. Although the real part is clearly an oscillating function of *t* with an oscillation frequency, $\frac{\sqrt{1+a^2}}{\tau}$, the oscillation is not easily seen in the figure. However, the imaginary part has a much larger oscillation amplitude than the real part, and the oscillation becomes clear as *a* increases, reflecting the ballistic nature of the sample. The oscillation frequency in the imaginary part is linearly dependent on *a* as shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-14)

In this paper we have obtained the exact transport equations valid in the diffusive, ballistic, and crossover regimes

FIG. 2. (a) The imaginary and (b) the real parts of $S(t, q)$. We set τ =1. For both figures, from bottom to top, the curves are corresponding to *a*=2.2,2.6,3,3.4,3.8,4.2.

of a special type of spin-orbit-coupled system which enjoys an $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry. We obtained the exact form of the structure factors and found the dependence of the spin density as would be observed in a transient-grating experiment. For the diffusive regime, our equations reproduce the spincharge dynamics of the persistent spin helix at the $SU(2)$ symmetry point. It would be interesting to work out the

FIG. 3. (Color online) The oscillation frequency in the imaginary part of $S(t,q)$ as a function of *a*.

transport equations in the diffusive-ballistic regime in perturbation theory away from the persistent spin helix.

B.A.B. wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics at University of California– Santa Barbara, where part of this work was performed. B.A.B. acknowledges fruitful discussions with Joe Orenstein, C. P. Weber, Jake Koralek, and Shoucheng Zhang. This work was supported by the Princeton Center for Theoretical Physics and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0603759.

- ¹ S. A. Wolf, D. D. Awschalom, R. A. Buhrman, J. M. Daughton, S. von Molnár, M. L. Roukes, A. Y. Chtchelkanova, and D. M. Treger, Science 294, 1488 (2001).
- ² J. Nitta, T. Akazaki, H. Takayanagi, and T. Enoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1335 (1997).
- ³D. Grundler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 6074 (2000).
- 4Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 176601 (2004).
- 5Y. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Nature (London) 427, 50 (2004).
- 6C. P. Weber, N. Gedik, J. E. Moore, J. Orenstein, J. Stephens, and D. D. Awschalom, Nature (London) 437, 1330 (2005).
- 7S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S. Zhang, Science **301**, 1348 $(2003).$
- ⁸ J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N. A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 126603 (2004).
- 9 C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 226801 (2005).
- ¹⁰C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005).
- 11B. A. Bernevig and S. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 106802

 $(2006).$

- 12B. A. Bernevig, T. L. Hughes, and S. C. Zhang, Science **314**, 1757 (2006).
- 13A. A. Burkov, A. S. Núñez, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 70, 155308 (2004).
- ¹⁴E. G. Mishchenko, A. V. Shytov, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 226602 (2004).
- 15K. Nomura, J. Wunderlich, J. Sinova, B. Kaestner, A. H. Mac-Donald, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. B 72, 245330 (2005).
- 16B. A. Bernevig, J. Orenstein, and S. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 236601 (2006).
- 17C. P. Weber, J. Orenstein, B. A. Bernevig, S. C. Zhang, J. Stephens, and D. D. Awshalom, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 076604 $(2007).$
- ¹⁸ J. Rammer and H. Smith, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 323 (1986).
- ¹⁹ V. V. Bryksin and P. Kleinert, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 165313 (2006).
- 20N. Gedik, J. Orenstein, Ruixing Liang, D. A. Bonn, and W. N. Hardy, Science 300, 1410 (2003).